

Population Research Center Preliminary Population Forecasts for Lane County as of 2/6/2009 - ALL DATA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

PRELIMINARY POPULATION FORECASTS* AVERAGE ANNUAL CHANGE	Census							Forecast							Average annual growth rates							Average annual change in number							Average Annual change in entire forecast period	
	1990	2000	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	1990-2000	2000-2010	2010-2015	2015-2020	2020-2025	2025-2030	2030-2035	1990-2000	2000-2010	2010-2015	2015-2020	2020-2025	2025-2030	2030-2035	2010-2035 %	2010-2035 #							
COBURG	763	969	1,087	1,160	1,258	1,372	1,485	1,583	2.4%	1.1%	1.3%	1.6%	1.7%	1.6%	1.3%	21	12	15	20	23	23	20	1.5%	20						
COTTAGE GROVE	7,772	8,867	9,957	10,616	11,424	12,261	12,856	13,542	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.4%	0.9%	1.0%	110	109	132	162	168	119	137	1.2%	143						
CRESWELL	2,616	3,851	5,647	6,802	8,263	9,758	11,060	12,172	3.9%	3.8%	3.7%	3.9%	3.3%	2.5%	1.9%	124	180	231	292	299	260	222	3.1%	261						
DUNES CITY	1,081	1,241	1,457	1,542	1,640	1,726	1,777	1,823	1.4%	1.6%	1.1%	1.2%	1.0%	0.6%	0.5%	16	22	17	20	17	10	9	0.9%	15						
EUGENE (city only)	112,669	137,893	156,711	165,121	173,886	182,443	192,400	200,818	2.0%	1.3%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	2,522	1,882	1,682	1,753	1,711	1,991	1,684	1.0%	1,764						
FLORENCE	6,143	8,643	11,212	12,355	13,747	15,035	16,323	17,434	3.4%	2.6%	1.9%	2.1%	1.8%	1.6%	1.3%	250	257	229	278	258	257	222	1.8%	249						
JUNCTION CITY	4,257	5,476	6,753	9,543	10,799	12,067	13,136	13,887	2.5%	2.1%	6.9%	2.5%	2.2%	1.7%	1.1%	122	128	558	251	254	214	150	2.9%	285						
LOWELL	785	880	1,048	1,204	1,366	1,548	1,725	1,896	1.1%	1.7%	2.8%	2.5%	2.5%	2.2%	1.9%	10	17	31	32	36	35	34	2.4%	34						
OAKRIDGE	3,140	3,251	3,765	3,959	4,163	4,358	4,554	4,774	0.3%	1.5%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	11	51	39	41	39	39	44	1.0%	40						
SPRINGFIELD (city only)	44,683	52,864	58,831	62,975	66,625	70,154	73,601	77,181	1.7%	1.1%	1.4%	1.1%	1.0%	1.0%	0.9%	818	597	829	730	706	689	716	1.1%	734						
VENETA	2,519	2,762	4,976	5,902	7,251	8,727	9,847	10,505	0.9%	5.9%	3.4%	4.1%	3.7%	2.4%	1.3%	24	221	185	270	295	224	132	3.0%	221						
WESTFIR	291	293	359	370	384	412	426	448	0.1%	2.0%	0.6%	0.7%	1.4%	0.7%	1.0%	0	7	2	3	6	3	4	0.9%	4						
EUGENE/SPRINGFIELD UGB AREA	190,527	222,264	244,718	256,319	268,019	280,652	292,701	304,644	1.5%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	3,174	2,245	2,320	2,340	2,527	2,410	2,389	0.9%	2,397						
SUM OF UGB AREAS FOR REMAINING 10 CITIES	29,366	36,234	46,260	53,451	60,296	67,265	73,188	78,064	2.1%	2.4%	2.9%	2.4%	2.2%	1.7%	1.3%	687	1,003	1,438	1,369	1,394	1,185	975	2.1%	1,272						
UNINCORPORATED OUTSIDE ALL UGBs	63,018	64,479	58,121	56,266	55,024	53,271	51,782	49,182	0.2%	-1.0%	-0.6%	-0.4%	-0.6%	-0.6%	-1.0%	146	-636	-371	-248	-351	-298	-520	-0.7%	-358						
LANE COUNTY	282,912	322,977	349,099	366,036	383,339	401,188	417,671	431,890	1.3%	0.8%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	0.7%	4,007	2,612	3,387	3,461	3,570	3,297	2,844	0.9%	3,312						

*Population forecasts for individual cities represent the entire UGB area for each city except Eugene and Springfield which share a UGB in this study.

PRELIMINARY POPULATION FORECASTS* PERIOD CHANGE	Census							Forecast							Rates of Period Change							Period change in number							Change in entire forecast period	
	1990	2000	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	1990-2000	2000-2010	2010-2015	2015-2020	2020-2025	2025-2030	2030-2035	1990-2000	2000-2010	2010-2015	2015-2020	2020-2025	2025-2030	2030-2035	2010-2035 %	2010-2035 #							
COBURG	763	969	1,087	1,160	1,258	1,372	1,485	1,583	27.0%	12.1%	6.7%	8.4%	9.1%	8.2%	6.6%	206	118	73	98	114	113	98	45.7%	496						
COTTAGE GROVE	7,772	8,867	9,957	10,616	11,424	12,261	12,856	13,542	14.1%	12.3%	6.6%	7.6%	7.3%	4.9%	5.3%	1,095	1,090	659	808	838	595	686	36.0%	3,585						
CRESWELL	2,616	3,851	5,647	6,802	8,263	9,758	11,060	12,172	47.2%	46.6%	20.5%	21.5%	18.1%	13.3%	10.1%	1,235	1,796	1,155	1,461	1,495	1,302	1,112	115.6%	6,525						
DUNES CITY	1,081	1,241	1,457	1,542	1,640	1,726	1,777	1,823	14.8%	17.4%	5.8%	6.4%	5.2%	3.0%	2.6%	160	216	85	98	86	51	46	25.1%	366						
EUGENE (city only)	112,669	137,893	156,711	165,121	173,886	182,443	192,400	200,818	22.4%	13.6%	5.4%	5.3%	4.9%	5.5%	4.4%	25,224	18,818	8,410	8,765	8,557	9,957	8,418	28.1%	44,107						
FLORENCE	6,143	8,643	11,212	12,355	13,747	15,035	16,323	17,434	40.7%	29.7%	10.2%	11.3%	9.4%	8.6%	6.8%	2,500	2,569	1,143	1,392	1,289	1,287	1,111	55.5%	6,222						
JUNCTION CITY	4,257	5,476	6,753	9,543	10,799	12,067	13,136	13,887	28.6%	23.3%	41.3%	13.2%	11.7%	8.9%	5.7%	1,219	1,277	2,790	1,256	1,268	1,068	751	105.6%	7,133						
LOWELL	785	880	1,048	1,204	1,366	1,548	1,725	1,896	12.1%	19.1%	14.9%	13.5%	13.3%	11.4%	9.9%	95	168	156	162	181	177	171	80.9%	848						
OAKRIDGE	3,140	3,251	3,765	3,959	4,163	4,358	4,554	4,774	3.6%	15.8%	5.2%	5.2%	4.7%	4.5%	4.8%	112	513	194	204	196	196	220	26.8%	1,009						
SPRINGFIELD (city only)	44,683	52,864	58,831	62,975	66,625	70,154	73,601	77,181	18.3%	11.3%	7.0%	5.8%	5.3%	4.9%	4.9%	8,181	5,967	4,144	3,650	3,529	3,447	3,580	31.2%	18,350						
VENETA	2,519	2,762	4,976	5,902	7,251	8,727	9,847	10,505	9.6%	80.2%	18.6%	22.9%	20.3%	12.8%	6.7%	243	2,214	925	1,350	1,475	1,120	659	111.1%	5,529						
WESTFIR	291	293	359	370	384	412	426	448	0.7%	22.3%	3.3%	3.8%	7.3%	3.4%	5.2%	2	65	12	14	28	14	22	25.0%	90						
EUGENE/SPRINGFIELD TOTAL UGB AREA	190,527	222,264	244,718	256,319	268,019	280,652	292,701	304,644	16.7%	10.1%	4.7%	4.6%	4.7%	4.3%	4.1%	31,737	22,454	11,601	11,700	12,633	12,049	11,943	24.5%	59,926						
SUM OF UGB AREAS FOR REMAINING 10 CITIES	29,366	36,234	46,260	53,451	60,296	67,265	73,188	78,064	23.4%	27.7%	15.5%	12.8%	11.6%	8.8%	6.7%	6,868	10,026	7,192	6,844	6,969	5,923	4,876	68.8%	31,804						
UNINCORPORATED OUTSIDE ALL UGBs	63,018	64,479	58,121	56,266	55,024	53,271	51,782	49,182	2.3%	-9.9%	-3.2%	-2.2%	-3.2%	-2.8%	-5.0%	1,461	-6,358	-1,856	-1,241	-1,753	-1,489	-2,600	-15.4%	-8,939						
LANE COUNTY	282,912	322,977	349,099	366,036	383,339	401,188	417,671	431,890	14.2%	8.1%	4.9%	4.7%	4.7%	4.1%	3.4%	40,065	26,122	16,937	17,303	17,849	16,483	14,219	23.7%	82,791						

*Population forecasts for individual cities represent the entire UGB area for each city except Eugene and Springfield which share a UGB in this study.

PRELIMINARY POPULATION FORECASTS* SHARES OF COUNTY POPULATION	Census							Forecast							Share of County population								
	1990	2000	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	1990	2000	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	1990	2000	2010	2015	2020	2025	2030		
COBURG	763	969	1,087	1,160	1,258	1,372	1,485	1,583	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%
COTTAGE GROVE	7,772	8,867	9,957	10,616	11,424	12,261	12,856	13,542	2.7%	2.7%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%	2.7%	2.7%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%
CRESWELL	2,616	3,851	5,647	6,802	8,263	9,758	11,060	12,172	0.9%	1.2%	1.6%	1.9%	2.2%	2.4%	2.6%	2.6%	0.9%	1.2%	1.6%	1.9%	2.2%	2.4%	2.6%
DUNES CITY	1,081	1,241	1,457	1,542	1,640	1,726	1,777	1,823	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	
EUGENE (city only)	112,669	137,893	156,711	165,121	173,886	182,443	192,400	200,818	39.8%	42.7%	44.9%	45.1%	45.4%	45.5%	46.1%	46.5%	39.8%	42.7%	44.9%	45.1%	45.4%	45.5%	46.1%
FLORENCE	6,143	8,643	11,212	12,355	13,747	15,035	16,323	17,434	2.2%	2.7%	3.2%	3.4%	3.6%	3.7%	3.9%	4.0%	2.2%	2.7%	3.2%	3.4%	3.6%	3.7%	3.9%
JUNCTION CITY	4,257	5,476	6,753	9,543	10,799	12,067	13,136	13,887	1.5%	1.7%	1.9%	2.6%	2.8%	3.0%	3.1%	3.2%	1.5%	1.7%	1.9%	2.6%	2.8%	3.0%	3.1%
LOWELL	785	880	1,048	1,204	1,366	1,548	1,725	1,896	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.4%	
OAKRIDGE	3,140	3,251	3,765	3,959	4,163	4,358	4,554	4,774	1.1%	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.0%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	1.1%	
SPRINGFIELD (city only)	44,683	52,864	58,831	62,975	66,625	70,154	73,601	77,181	15.8%	16.4%	16.9%	17.2%	17.4%	17.5%	17.6%	17.9%	15.8%	16.4%	16.9%	17.2%	17.4%	17.5%	17.6%
VENETA	2,519	2,762	4,976	5,902	7,251	8,727	9,847	10,505	0.9%	0.9%	1.4%	1.6%	1.9%	2.2%	2.4%	2.4%	0.9%	0.9%	1.4%	1.6%	1.9%	2.2%	
WESTFIR	291	293	359	370	384	412	426	448	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	
EUGENE/SPRINGFIELD TOTAL UGB AREA	190,527	222,264	244,718	256,319	268,019	280,652	292,701	304,644	67.3%	68.8%	70.1%	70.0%	69.9%	70.0%	70.1%	70.5%	67.3%	68.8%	70.1%	70.0%	69.9%	70.0%	70.1%
SUM OF UGB AREAS FOR REMAINING 10 CITIES	29,366	36,234	46,260	53,451	60,296	67,265	73,188	78,064	10.4%	11.2%	13.3%	14.6%	15.7%	16.8%	17.5%	18.1%	10.4%	11.2%	13.3%	14.6%	15.7%	16.8%	17.5%
UNINCORPORATED OUTSIDE ALL UGBs	63,018	64,479	58,121	56,266	55,024	53,271	51,782	49,182	22.3%	20.0%	16.6%	15.4%	14.4%	13.3%	12.4%	11.4%	22.3%	20.0%	16.6%	15.4%	14.4%	13.3%	12.4%
LANE COUNTY	282,912	322,977	349,099	366,036	383,339	401,188	417,671	431,890	100.0%														

Population Forecasts and Data Summary for Lane County, City Urban Areas and Unincorporated Area

Key: Pop=population; Ave Ann= average annual; Hsg=housing; PPH=average number of persons per household; GQ pop=persons residing in group quarters facilities.

Lane Co	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Total	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	282,912		100.00%	110,799	116,676		95.0%	2.49	7,489
2000	322,977	1.32%	100.00%	130,453	138,954	1.75%	93.9%	2.42	7,418
2010	349,099	0.78%	100.00%	143,043	153,090	0.97%	93.4%	2.37	10,704
2015	366,036	0.95%	100.00%	152,133	162,966	1.25%	93.4%	2.32	12,664
2020	383,339	0.92%	100.00%	161,358	172,990	1.19%	93.3%	2.29	13,284
2025	401,188	0.91%	100.00%	170,681	183,165	1.14%	93.2%	2.27	13,868
2030	417,671	0.81%	100.00%	179,445	192,737	1.02%	93.1%	2.25	14,653
2035	431,890	0.67%	100.00%	186,945	200,966	0.84%	93.0%	2.23	15,470
Method	CC model, hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by trending 1980-2000 headship rates (persons in age groups ages 15 and older that are householders; one householder = one occupied housing unit or household) .	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program ;Lane Co GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot and attribute data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS

Coburg	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	763		0.27%	293	305		96.1%	2.41	57
2000	969	2.39%	0.30%	367	387	2.38%	94.8%	2.64	0
2010	1,087	1.15%	0.31%	407	432	1.10%	94.3%	2.67	0
2015	1,160	1.31%	0.32%	437	464	1.43%	94.3%	2.62	13
2020	1,258	1.62%	0.33%	467	495	1.29%	94.3%	2.64	27
2025	1,372	1.73%	0.34%	509	540	1.74%	94.3%	2.64	30
2030	1,485	1.58%	0.36%	551	584	1.57%	94.3%	2.64	33
2035	1,583	1.28%	0.37%	591	627	1.42%	94.3%	2.62	35
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying by assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Population Forecasts and Data Summary for Lane County, City Urban Areas and Unincorporated Area

Key: Pop=population; Ave Ann= average annual; Hsg=housing; PPH=average number of persons per household; GQ pop=persons residing in group quarters facilities.

Cottage Grove	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop		
1990	7,772		2.75%	2,942	3,071		95.8%	2.61	106		
2000	8,867	1.32%	2.75%	3,427	3,602	1.59%	95.2%	2.54	152		
2010	9,957	1.16%	2.85%	3,832	4,033	1.13%	95.0%	2.51	322		
2015	10,616	1.28%	2.90%	4,138	4,357	1.54%	95.0%	2.49	333		
2020	11,424	1.47%	2.98%	4,501	4,742	1.69%	94.9%	2.46	348		
2025	12,261	1.42%	3.06%	4,855	5,120	1.53%	94.8%	2.45	384		
2030	12,856	0.95%	3.08%	5,113	5,397	1.06%	94.7%	2.43	413		
2035	13,542	1.04%	3.14%	5,411	5,720	1.16%	94.6%	2.42	438		
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total		Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.		several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program		

Creswell	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop		
1990	2,616		0.92%	953	1,004		95.0%	2.68	59		
2000	3,851	3.87%	1.19%	1,368	1,445	3.64%	94.6%	2.77	58		
2010	5,647	3.83%	1.62%	1,997	2,133	3.89%	93.6%	2.80	57		
2015	6,802	3.72%	1.86%	2,423	2,584	3.84%	93.8%	2.78	66		
2020	8,263	3.89%	2.16%	2,958	3,150	3.97%	93.9%	2.77	77		
2025	9,758	3.33%	2.43%	3,556	3,791	3.70%	93.8%	2.72	92		
2030	11,060	2.50%	2.65%	4,084	4,358	2.79%	93.7%	2.68	106		
2035	12,172	1.92%	2.82%	4,526	4,834	2.08%	93.6%	2.66	114		
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total		Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.		several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program		

Population Forecasts and Data Summary for Lane County, City Urban Areas and Unincorporated Area

Key: Pop=population; Ave Ann= average annual; Hsg=housing; PPH=average number of persons per household; GQ pop=persons residing in group quarters facilities.

Dunes City	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	1,081		0.38%	466	559		83.4%	2.30	7
2000	1,241	1.38%	0.38%	558	705	2.32%	79.1%	2.22	0
2010	1,457	1.60%	0.42%	705	890	2.33%	79.2%	2.07	0
2015	1,542	1.13%	0.42%	751	947	1.24%	79.3%	2.05	0
2020	1,640	1.23%	0.43%	803	1,011	1.31%	79.4%	2.04	0
2025	1,726	1.02%	0.43%	845	1,064	1.02%	79.4%	2.03	8
2030	1,777	0.58%	0.43%	871	1,096	0.59%	79.5%	2.02	16
2035	1,823	0.51%	0.42%	898	1,130	0.61%	79.5%	2.01	18
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Eugene is city

Eugene	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	112,669		39.82%	46,274	47,991		96.4%	2.30	6,267
2000	137,893	2.02%	42.69%	58,110	61,444	2.47%	94.6%	2.27	6,086
2010	156,711	1.28%	44.89%	65,389	69,696	1.26%	93.8%	2.26	8,794
2015	165,121	1.05%	45.11%	70,492	75,075	1.49%	93.9%	2.22	8,858
2020	173,886	1.03%	45.36%	74,906	80,273	1.34%	93.3%	2.20	9,151
2025	182,443	0.96%	45.48%	79,852	85,153	1.18%	93.8%	2.17	9,510
2030	192,400	1.06%	46.06%	84,918	90,717	1.27%	93.6%	2.15	10,083
2035	200,818	0.86%	46.50%	88,571	95,550	1.04%	92.7%	2.15	10,722
Method	CC model, hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by trending 1980-2000 headship rates (persons in age groups ages 15 and older that are householders; one householder = one occupied housing unit or household) .	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program, ACS

Population Forecasts and Data Summary for Lane County, City Urban Areas and Unincorporated Area

Key: Pop=population; Ave Ann= average annual; Hsg=housing; PPH=average number of persons per household;
GQ pop=persons residing in group quarters facilities.

Florence	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	6,143		2.17%	2,736	3,262		83.9%	2.22	73
2000	8,643	3.41%	2.68%	4,241	4,967	4.21%	85.4%	2.02	56
2010	11,212	2.60%	3.21%	5,648	6,562	2.78%	86.1%	1.93	295
2015	12,355	1.94%	3.38%	6,287	7,292	2.11%	86.2%	1.91	324
2020	13,747	2.14%	3.59%	7,053	8,170	2.27%	86.3%	1.90	363
2025	15,035	1.79%	3.75%	7,716	8,936	1.79%	86.3%	1.89	425
2030	16,323	1.64%	3.91%	8,379	9,703	1.65%	86.4%	1.89	491
2035	17,434	1.32%	4.04%	8,992	10,415	1.42%	86.3%	1.88	531
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Junction City	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	4,257		1.50%	1,714	1,756		97.6%	2.43	96
2000	5,476	2.52%	1.70%	2,115	2,228	2.38%	94.9%	2.52	137
2010	6,753	2.10%	1.93%	2,558	2,711	1.96%	94.4%	2.59	125
2015	9,543	6.92%	2.61%	2,937	3,108	2.73%	94.5%	2.59	1,939
2020	10,799	2.47%	2.82%	3,418	3,612	3.01%	94.6%	2.53	2,157
2025	12,067	2.22%	3.01%	3,845	4,065	2.37%	94.6%	2.57	2,183
2030	13,136	1.70%	3.14%	4,272	4,518	2.11%	94.5%	2.56	2,205
2035	13,887	1.11%	3.22%	4,591	4,860	1.46%	94.5%	2.54	2,222
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Population Forecasts and Data Summary for Lane County, City Urban Areas and Unincorporated Area

Key: Pop=population; Ave Ann= average annual; Hsg=housing; PPH=average number of persons per household; GQ pop=persons residing in group quarters facilities.

Lowell	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	785		0.28%	271	288		94.1%	2.90	0
2000	880	1.14%	0.27%	315	349	1.92%	90.3%	2.79	0
2010	1,048	1.75%	0.30%	405	432	2.13%	93.8%	2.59	0
2015	1,204	2.77%	0.33%	472	502	3.00%	94.0%	2.55	0
2020	1,366	2.53%	0.36%	540	574	2.68%	94.1%	2.53	0
2025	1,548	2.49%	0.39%	612	650	2.49%	94.1%	2.53	0
2030	1,725	2.17%	0.41%	682	725	2.18%	94.1%	2.53	0
2035	1,896	1.89%	0.44%	755	802	2.02%	94.1%	2.51	0
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Oakridge	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	3,140		1.11%	1,281	1,405		91.2%	2.45	3
2000	3,251	0.35%	1.01%	1,389	1,575	1.14%	88.2%	2.34	0
2010	3,765	1.47%	1.08%	1,610	1,805	1.36%	89.2%	2.33	12
2015	3,959	1.00%	1.08%	1,689	1,891	0.93%	89.3%	2.34	13
2020	4,163	1.01%	1.09%	1,778	1,987	0.99%	89.5%	2.33	13
2025	4,358	0.92%	1.09%	1,863	2,081	0.93%	89.5%	2.33	14
2030	4,554	0.88%	1.09%	1,947	2,176	0.89%	89.5%	2.33	15
2035	4,774	0.94%	1.11%	2,040	2,280	0.94%	89.5%	2.33	16
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Population Forecasts and Data Summary for Lane County, City Urban Areas and Unincorporated Area

Key: Pop=population; Ave Ann= average annual; Hsg=housing; PPH=average number of persons per household;
GQ pop=persons residing in group quarters facilities.

Springfield is city.

Springfield	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	44,683		15.79%	17,447	18,121		96.3%	2.54	345
2000	52,864	1.68%	16.37%	20,514	21,500	1.71%	95.4%	2.55	635
2010	58,831	1.07%	16.85%	22,893	24,069	1.13%	95.1%	2.54	726
2015	62,975	1.36%	17.20%	24,797	26,036	1.57%	95.2%	2.51	758
2020	66,625	1.13%	17.38%	25,940	27,799	1.31%	93.3%	2.54	784
2025	70,154	1.03%	17.49%	28,130	29,560	1.23%	95.2%	2.46	848
2030	73,601	0.96%	17.62%	29,720	31,287	1.14%	95.0%	2.45	911
2035	77,181	0.95%	17.87%	31,340	33,317	1.26%	94.1%	2.43	986
Method	CC model, hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by trending 1980-2000 headship rates (persons in age groups ages 15 and older that are householders; one householder = one occupied housing unit or household) .	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program ;Marion Co GIS; tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program, ACS

Veneta	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	2,519		0.89%	904	932		97.0%	2.79	0
2000	2,762	0.92%	0.86%	966	1,020	0.90%	94.7%	2.86	0
2010	4,976	5.89%	1.43%	1,702	1,772	5.52%	96.0%	2.90	37
2015	5,902	3.41%	1.61%	2,053	2,140	3.77%	95.9%	2.85	41
2020	7,251	4.12%	1.89%	2,552	2,662	4.37%	95.8%	2.82	45
2025	8,727	3.70%	2.18%	3,116	3,255	4.02%	95.7%	2.78	53
2030	9,847	2.41%	2.36%	3,558	3,720	2.67%	95.7%	2.75	60
2035	10,505	1.30%	2.43%	3,834	4,018	1.54%	95.4%	2.72	65
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Population Forecasts and Data Summary for Lane County, City Urban Areas and Unincorporated Area

**Key: Pop=population; Ave Ann= average annual; Hsg=housing; PPH=average number of persons per household;
GQ pop=persons residing in group quarters facilities.**

Westfir	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	291		0.10%	103	112		91.6%	2.84	0
2000	293	0.07%	0.09%	105	113	0.09%	92.6%	2.80	0
2010	359	2.01%	0.10%	124	130	1.36%	95.3%	2.90	0
2015	370	0.64%	0.10%	132	137	1.09%	96.3%	2.81	0
2020	384	0.74%	0.10%	137	142	0.76%	96.4%	2.80	0
2025	412	1.40%	0.10%	147	151	1.27%	97.3%	2.80	0
2030	426	0.67%	0.10%	153	157	0.69%	97.4%	2.79	0
2035	448	1.01%	0.10%	160	164	0.91%	97.4%	2.80	0
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Population Forecasts and Data Summary for Lane County, City Urban Areas and Unincorporated Area

Key: Pop=population; Ave Ann= average annual; Hsg=housing; PPH=average number of persons per household; GQ pop=persons residing in group quarters facilities.

Outside UGBs									
Unincorp	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	63,018		22.27%	21,804	23,749		91.8%	2.87	477
2000	64,479	0.23%	19.96%	24,335	26,280	1.01%	92.6%	2.64	294
2010	58,121	-1.04%	16.65%	23,897	25,875	-0.16%	92.4%	2.42	336
2015	56,266	-0.65%	15.37%	24,634	26,668	0.60%	92.4%	2.27	319
2020	55,024	-0.45%	14.35%	24,938	27,000	0.25%	92.4%	2.19	319
2025	53,271	-0.65%	13.28%	24,949	27,018	0.01%	92.3%	2.12	321
2030	51,782	-0.57%	12.40%	24,996	27,062	0.03%	92.4%	2.06	320
2035	49,182	-1.03%	11.39%	23,158	25,079	-1.52%	92.3%	2.11	323
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program

Eugene/Springfield UGB	Pop	Ave Ann Pop Growth	Share of County Pop	Households	Hsg Units	Ave Ann Hsg Growth	Occupancy Rate	PPH	GQ pop
1990	190,527		67.35%	77,331	80,233		96.4%	2.38	6,611
2000	222,264	1.54%	68.82%	91,268	96,283	1.82%	94.8%	2.36	6,721
2010	244,718	0.96%	70.10%	100,154	106,316	0.99%	94.2%	2.35	9,520
2015	256,319	0.93%	70.03%	106,181	112,878	1.20%	94.1%	2.32	9,616
2020	268,019	0.89%	69.92%	112,213	119,445	1.13%	93.9%	2.30	9,935
2025	280,652	0.92%	69.96%	118,667	126,493	1.15%	93.8%	2.28	10,358
2030	292,701	0.84%	70.08%	124,841	133,242	1.04%	93.7%	2.26	10,994
2035	304,644	0.80%	70.54%	131,990	141,038	1.14%	93.6%	2.22	11,708
Method	hsg unit method model; pop trends, model; sum of parts controlled to county total.			Future numbers estimated by multiplying assumed occupancy rate and hsg units and controlling to county total	Projected from analyzing change in number of housing units 1990-2000 and 2000-2008 and by utilizing 1990 and 2000 occupancy rates, applying weighted averages and trending.		Estimated future rates used for 1990, 2000, and county 2007 and applying weighted averages and trends.	several methods: estimated future PPH using 1990, 2000, and county 2007 PPHs, and applying weighted averages and trends, adjusted according to other population trends such as population composition.	assumed 2000 shares of total number of persons in each age group residing in group quarters and applied to future population forecasts.
Sources	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program			US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS; PRC pop est. program; Lane County GIS and LCOG, tax assessor and taxlot data.		US Census, ACS	US Census, ACS	US Census, PRC Pop Est Program