

HUD 2016 GRANT APPLICATION PROJECT RANKING

GRANTEE	Project Name	Project Type	Homeless Population	Current # of HHs at Point in Time	Performance Outcomes	Grant Amount	Project Operational	SCORED Ranking	Final RANK	TIER	
12 RENEWAL PROJECTS	LC	MCKENZIE	PH-RRH	Homeless Households (Adult only households, Households with Children, Households of Children only)	38	100.0%	\$ 550,749.00	Yes	2.500	1	1
	LC	HMIS	HMIS	Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) is local information technology system used to collect client-level data	N/A	100.0%	\$ 98,185.00	Yes	3.167	2	1
	SVDP	LIFT	PH-PSH	Chronically Homeless Adults & Homeless Households with Children With Co-occurring Mental illness and Addictions	18	95.8%	\$ 267,787.00	Yes	4.167	3	1
	LC	CASCADES	PH-RRH	Homeless Adults who are medically fragile	7	98.6%	\$ 98,813.00	Yes	4.667	4	1
	LC	SHANKLE Safe Haven	PH-PHS	Chronically Homeless Adults with a severe and persistent mental illness	27 PSH 87 SO	94.6%	\$ 537,958.00	Yes	4.833	5	1
	HACSA	SHELTER PLUS CARE	PH-PSH	Homeless and Chronically Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (mental health/substance abuse, medical or developmental).	48	93.0%	\$ 478,459.00	Yes	6.000	6	1
	LC	CAMAS	PH-PSH	Chronically Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (severe mental illness) and acute medical needs.	14	93.0%	\$ 168,021.00	Yes	7.000	7	1
	HACSA	MADRONE (start date 11/01/2016)	PH-PSH	Chronically Homeless Households with Children and/or Adults with mental illness, physical disability or chronic health condition, developmental disabilities, substance abuse issues or HIV/AIDS	16	100.0%	\$ 435,333.00	No	7.167	8	1
	LC	EMERALD	PH-PSH	Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (developmental). Homeless Households With/Without Children with Developmental Disabilities	15	92.4%	\$ 187,701.00	Yes	8.000	9	1
	SVDP	FIRST PLACE FAMILY PROJECT	PH-PSH	Chronically Homeless Households (Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (mental health/substance abuse, medical or developmental).	3	89.0%	\$ 37,810.00	Yes	9.333	10	1
	SVDP	CONNECTIONS	TH	Homeless Households With Children	21	87.4%	\$ 189,078.08	Yes	10.167	11	1
	SVDP	CONNECTIONS	TH	Homeless Households With Children	21	87.4%	\$ 37,373.92	Yes	10.167	11	2
SVDP	Vet LIFT	PH-PSH	Chronically Homeless Male and Female Veteran Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (Dual Diagnosis of Mental Illness With Substance Abuse).	18	81.9%	\$ 192,188.00	Yes	11.000	12	2	
ANNUAL RENEWAL AMOUNT						\$ 3,279,456.00					
7% Reduction (based on Renewals)						\$ (229,561.92)					
PLANNING	LC	PLANNING	Planning	Staffing to support the HUD CoC Program application, administer ranking and prioritizing of renewals and new projects, evaluate the outcomes of individual CoC and ESG program projects, compliance activities for the CoC re:	N/A	100.0%	\$ 98,384.00				
	Planning Grant (not included in ranking)						\$ 98,384.00				
	Project Totals (Renewals and Planning)						\$ 3,377,840.00				
NEW	LC	SAHALI (New Project)	PH-PSH	Chronically Homeless frequent users of health care, emergency medical, human services and public safety systems.	10		\$ 163,973.00			13	
	NEW PROJECT						\$ 163,973.00				

The Committee was asked to rank the 12 renewal projects and the one new project. 1 is the top pick and 13 is the bottom pick. Members were provided performance outcome details on each project except the new project.

The committee members' ranking order was entered into this shared table which calculated the final rank weighted with the performance outcomes (outcome column).

Performance outcomes included Inventory Utilization, Housing Stability, Total income Maintenance/Increase, Expended Grant Funds, Program Eligibility (based on homelessness), Data Quality and Completeness, Percent of Chronically Homeless persons served (as required by the project), and Monitoring of the Project.

The committee agreed to rank the new project, Sahali, as last (13th).

Project Performance

Project Name: Camas Permanent Housing Project - Project started 01/01/2015

Reviewer: _____

Chronically Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (severe mental illness) and acute medical needs. (data from 1/1/2015 to 05/31/2015)

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)	97.0%	100%	-3%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- Participants in CoC funded permanent housing projects remain in permanent housing as of the end of the operating year or exiting to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	78.3%	80%	-2%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- Adult Participants (18+ yrs.) who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	42.1%	63%	-21%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	100.0%	100%	0%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	100.0%	100%	0%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	100.0%	95%	5%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Families. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	72.2%	100%	-28%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	82.3%	100%	-18%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	87		
POSSIBLE POINTS		94	
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)			93.0%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County > 0625 - HUD CoC APR v27 (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Project Performance

Project Name: **CASCADES Rapid Rehousing**

Reviewer: _____

Homeless Adults who are medically fragile

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- The % of persons who remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	67.7%	83%	-15%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- The % of persons age 18 and older who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	48.4%	58%	-10%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	100.0%	100%	0%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	100.0%	100%	0%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	98.8%	95%	4%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Families. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	109.6%	100%	10%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	71.0%	71%	0%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	72
POSSIBLE POINTS	73
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)	98.6%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625 - HUD CoC APR (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Project Performance

Project Name: CONNECTIONS Transitional Housing

Reviewer: _____

Transitional housing for Homeless Households With Children. Up to 2 years.

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)	86%	100%	-14%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- Participants in CoC funded transitional housing projects exit into permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	86%	88%	-2%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- Adult Participants (18+ yrs.) who <u>increased</u> their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	31%	56%	-25%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	100%	100%	0%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	100.00%	100%	0%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	98.7%	95%	4%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	0.00%	100%	-100%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Familes. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	120.00%	100%	20%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	11.34%	0%	11%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	73
POSSIBLE POINTS	84
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)	87.4%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625 - HUD CoC APR (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Project Performance

Project Name: EMERALD OPTIONS Permanent Housing

Reviewer: _____

Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (developmental). Homeless Households With/Without Children with Developmental Disabilities

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)	91.0%	100%	-9%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- The % of persons who remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	95.2%	86%	9%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- The % of persons age 18 and older who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	88.0%	67%	21%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	85.4%	100%	-15%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	96.0%	100%	-4%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	97.1%	95%	2%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Families. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	26.4%	100%	-74%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	4.8%	0%	5%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	78
POSSIBLE POINTS	85
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)	92.4%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625 - HUD CoC APR (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Project Performance

Project Name: **FIRST PLACE Permanent Housing - Project Started 01/01/2015**

Reviewer: _____

Chronically Homeless Households (Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (mental health/substance abuse, medical or developmental).

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)	86.0%	100%	-14%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- The % of persons who remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	92.3%	89%	3%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- The % of persons age 18 and older who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	100.0%	75%	25%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	70.4%	100%	-30%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	100.0%	100%	0%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	99.6%	95%	5%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Families. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	59.3%	100%	-41%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	46.2%	100%	-54%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	85		
POSSIBLE POINTS		96	
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)			89.0%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625 - HUD CoC APR (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Copy of THE RANKING PROJECTS CoC 2016 (FINAL 2016-07-10).xlsx

Project Performance

Project Name: LANE COUNTY HMIS Project

Reviewer: _____

Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) is local information technology system used to collect client-level data and data on the provision of housing and services to homeless individuals and families and persons at risk of homelessness. Lane County uses ServicePoint.

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION OF RESOURCES: Average percentage of licenses assigned to active users	98.8%	100%	-1%
Percent of CoC programs participating in HMIS	100%	100%	0%
Percent of End-Users that signed User Confidentiality Agreement	100%	100%	0%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	100%	100%	0%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	98.6%	95%	4%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	36		
POSSIBLE POINTS		36	
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)			100.0%

Project Performance

Project Name: LIFT Permanent Housing

Reviewer: _____

Chronically Homeless Adults & Homeless Households with Children With Co-occurring Mental illness and Addictions

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)	82%	100%	-18%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- Participants in CoC funded permanent housing projects remain in permanent housing as of the end of the operating year or exiting to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	92%	75%	17%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- Adult Participants (18+ yrs.) who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	77%	78%	-1%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	100%	100%	0%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	100.00%	100%	0%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	98.5%	95%	3%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.00%	100%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Familes. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	68.50%	100%	-32%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	25.91%	33%	-7%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	84
POSSIBLE POINTS	88
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)	95.8%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625 - HUD CoC APR (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Project Performance

Project Name: Madrone Permanent Supportive Housing

Reviewer: _____

Chronically Homeless Households with Children and/or Adults with mental illness, physical disability or chronic health condition, developmental disabilities, substance abuse issues or HIV/AIDS

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)		100%	-100%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- Participants in CoC funded permanent housing projects remain in permanent housing as of the end of the operating year or exiting to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.		80%	-80%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- Adult Participants (18+ yrs.) who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.		64%	-64%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant		100%	-100%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households project/funding at date of project entry (under entry date)		100%	-100%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry		95%	-95%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.00%	100%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Families. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.		100%	-100%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless		100%	-100%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

PROJECT STARTS
11/01/2016

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	1	
POSSIBLE POINTS		1
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)		100.0%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625 - HUD CoC APR (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Project Performance

Project Name: McKENZIE TRANSITIONS Rapid Rehousing

Reviewer: _____

Homeless Households (Adult only households, Households with Children, Households of Children only) (data from 10/01/14 to 05/31/2015)

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- The % of persons who remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	89.2%	80.0%	9%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- The % of persons age 18 and older who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	55.6%	30.0%	26%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	91.4%	100.0%	-9%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	98.2%	100.0%	-2%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	98.9%	95.0%	4%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100.0%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Families. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	101.3%	100.0%	1%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	22.8%	22.8%	0%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100.0%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	68
POSSIBLE POINTS	65
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)	104.0%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625 - HUD CoC APR (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization
 Copy of FMB RANKING PROJECTS CoC 2016 (FINAL 2016-07-16).xlsx WICK

Project Performance

Project Name: LANE COUNTY PLANNING Application

Reviewer: _____

Staffing to support the HUD CoC Program application, administer ranking and prioritizing of renewals and new projects, evaluate the outcomes of individual CoC and ESG program projects, compliance activities for the CoC re: environmental reviews and coordinated entry system for homeless services

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
Point in Time Count- Maintain or increase agency stakeholder participation in Point in Time sheltered and unsheltered counts.	27.80%	25%	3%
PHB Board Participation: Maintain or increase participation in CoC board to strengthen CoC structure. Board Participation Rate	68.00%	58%	10%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	100.00%	100%	0%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete for Coordinated Entry Assessments	94.76%	95%	0%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	20		
POSSIBLE POINTS		19	
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)			100.0%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> Data Completeness for LC FRONT DOOR [PROV or PG]

Project Performance

Project Name: Sahali Permanent Supportive Housing

Reviewer: _____

Chronically Homeless frequent users of health care, emergency medical, human services and public safety systems.

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)		100%	-100%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- Participants in CoC funded permanent housing projects remain in permanent housing as of the end of the operating year or exiting to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.		80%	-80%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- Adult Participants (18+ yrs.) who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.		64%	-64%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year		100%	-100%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)		100%	-100%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.		95%	-95%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%		100%	-100%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Familes. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.		100%	-100%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless		100%	-100%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%		100%	-100%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project
POSSIBLE POINTS
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)

NEW PROJECT
Application

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Comple
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Project Performance

Project Name: SHANKLE Safe Haven Permanent Housing & Street Outreach

Reviewer: _____

Chronically Homeless Adults with a severe and persistent mental illness

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)	95%	100%	-5%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- The % of persons who remained in the permanent housing program as of the end of the operating year or exited to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	81%	86%	-5%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- The % of persons age 18 and older who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	72%	55%	17%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	100%	100%	0%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	70.94%	100%	-29%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	98.5%	95%	4%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.00%	100%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Familes. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	77.78%	100%	-22%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	90.63%	100%	-9%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	89
POSSIBLE POINTS	94
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)	94.6%

Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Continuum of Care Reports> 0625 - HUD CoC APR (HUD CoC Annual Performance Report)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Data Quality> 0252 - Data Completeness Report Card (EE)
 Data: ServicePoint ART> Public Folder > Lane County> PSB Report > Monthly Homeless Inventory Utilization

Project Performance

Project Name: SHELTER PLUS CARE Permanent Housing

Reviewer: _____

Homeless and Chronically Homeless Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (mental health/substance abuse, medical or developmental).

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Measures	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)	95.00%	100%	-5%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- Participants in CoC funded permanent housing projects remain in permanent housing as of the end of the operating year or exiting to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	83.08%	88%	-5%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- Adult Participants (18+ yrs.) who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	74.29%	75%	-1%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	100.00%	100%	0%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (understanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	95.24%	100%	-5%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	98.64%	95%	4%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.00%	100%	0%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Families. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	52.78%	100%	-47%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	33.32%	37%	-4%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	83
POSSIBLE POINTS	90
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)	93.0%

Project Performance

Project Name: Vet LIFT (including Vet LIFT 4 and 5) Permanent Housing

Reviewer: _____

Chronically Homeless Male and Female Veteran Households (Adult only and Households with Children) with long term disabling condition (Dual Diagnosis of Mental Illness With Substance Abuse).

HMIS data from 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

Date: _____

Performance Measure	Actual % of persons who accomplished this measure	Current LC OR-500 Objective	% Difference between Objective and Actual Performance
UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%)	100.00%	100%	0%
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- Participants in CoC funded permanent housing projects remain in permanent housing as of the end of the operating year or exiting to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.	87.10%	84%	3%
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- Adult Participants (18+ yrs.) who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.	68.97%	74%	-5%
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year	96.88%	100%	-3%
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)	96.55%	100%	-3%
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.	99.38%	95%	4%
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	0.00%	100%	-100%
VULNERABILITY: Average Score (Average VI-SPDAT scores / top recommended score for project type) RRH 7 singles/ 8 for Familes. PSH 18 for all. TH 7.	66.67%	100%	-33%
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless	65.00%	100%	-35%
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%	100.0%	100%	0%

FINAL POINTS Accomplished by Project	78
POSSIBLE POINTS	95
Percent of POSSIBLE POINTS Project Accomplished (Over 100% = accomplished greater than projected objectives)	81.9%

COMPARISON of MEASURES

Enter data in this chart. It will populate tabs.

#	Project	Type	UTIL	HSNG STABL	TOT INCOME	Grant year	GRANT AMT (Last completed operating yr)	EXPENDED GRANT (Last completed operating yr)	%	ELIGIBILITY (APR Q20)	DQ	HOUSING First	VI possible	VULNERABILITY index Average or new participants	% VI Scale	CH	MONITORED
1	CAMAS (merged)	PSH	97%	78.3%	42.1%	2013	\$ 103,316.00	\$ 103,316.00	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100%	18	13	72.2%	82%	100%
2	Cascades	RRH		67.7%	48.4%	2013	\$ 92,465.00	\$ 92,465.00	100.0%	100.0%	98.8%	100%	7	7.67	109.6%	71%	100%
3	Connections	TH	86%	86.1%	30.8%	2014	\$ 226,452.00	\$ 226,452.00	100.0%	100.0%	98.7%	0%	7	8.4	120.0%	11%	100%
4	Emerald	PSH	91%	95.2%	88.0%	2014	\$ 181,238.00	\$ 154,715.24	85.4%	96.0%	97.1%	100%	18	4.76	26.4%	5%	100%
5	First Place	PSH	86%	92.3%	100.0%	2013	\$ 35,218.00	\$ 24,777.00	70.4%	100.0%	99.6%	100%	18	10.67	59.3%	46%	100%
6	HMIS	HMIS				2014	\$ 98,185.00	\$ 98,185.00	100.0%		98.6%						100%
7	LIFT	PSH	82%	91.9%	77.3%	2014	\$ 258,512.00	\$ 258,512.00	100.0%	100.0%	98.5%	100%	18	12.33	68.5%	26%	100%
8	Madrone (11/01/16 start)	PSH															
9	McKenzie	RRH		89.2%	55.6%	2014	\$ 521,325.00	\$ 476,408.41	91.4%	98.2%	98.9%	100%	8	8.1	101.3%	23%	100%
10	Shankle (PSH & Outreach)	SH	95%	81.3%	71.9%	2014	\$ 537,958.00	\$ 535,374.16	99.5%	70.9%	98.5%	100%	18	14	77.8%	91%	100%
11	SPC	PSH	95%	83.1%	74.3%	2013	\$ 437,407.00	\$ 437,407.00	100.0%	95.2%	98.6%	100%	18	9.5	52.8%	33%	100%
12	Vet LIFT	PSH	100%	87.1%	69.0%	2013	\$ 135,409.00	\$ 131,190.00	96.9%	96.6%	99.4%	0%	18	12	66.7%	65%	100%

Performance based on data in date range: 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

↑
final invoice not in yet

	Sahali (New Proposal)	PSH															
	Planning	Plan				2013			100.0%		94.8%						100%

SHARED MEASURES based on 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016

UTILIZATION MEASURE- Average percent of units utilized throughout year (up to 100%). RRH not included since utilization = actual housed in RRH
HOUSING STABILITY MEASURE- Participants in CoC funded permanent housing projects remain in permanent housing as of the end of the operating year or exiting to permanent housing (subsidized or unsubsidized) during the operating year.
TOTAL INCOME MEASURE- Adult Participants (18+ yrs.) who maintained or increased their total income (from all sources) as of the end of the operating year or program exit.
EXPENDED GRANT FUNDS: Percent Grant Funds Used in most recently ended grant year
ELIGIBILITY- Percent of enrolled households who met the eligibility criteria for the project/funding at date of project entry (undertanding that criteria may have changed since entry date)
DATA QUALITY MEASURE- HMIS data entry is complete.
HOUSING FIRST: Yes = 100%, No = 0%
VULNERABILITY: Percent of participants who are referred from Coordinated Entry
CHRONIC HOMELESSNESS: Percent of participants who are Chronically Homeless
MONITORED PROJECT: Yes = 100%, No = 0%

MINUTES
Poverty and Homelessness Board
Evaluation and RFP Committee Meeting
Continuum of Care Project Ranking
H&HS Charnelton Room #564

July 18, 2016
3:00-4:30 p.m.

Present: PHB Evaluation and RFP Committee Members: Pat Walsh, Kris McAlister, Shawn Murphy; Staff: Pearl Wolfe, Andrea Russell, Lyn Oliver, Melissa Coloma and Lisë Stuart.

Welcome

The Evaluation and RFP Committee, a subcommittee of the Poverty and Homelessness Board, the advisory board for the Lane County Community Action Agency and oversight board for the Lane County Continuum of Care (CoC), met to discuss the Ranking of CoC projects for the 2016 HUD CoC grant competition. Human Services Supervisor Pearl Wolfe convened the meeting at 3:10 p.m. Ms. Wolfe explained 13 projects will be ranked. The Planning project is not ranked but still requires committee approval.

Ms. Wolfe referred members to handout resources to be used in the ranking processes.

- 1) Performance Measures per project
- 2) Grant Application Project Ranking Worksheet
- 3) A packet including the detail of each CoC Project Performance
- 4) Comparison of Measures spreadsheet
- 5) Project Ranking Scenarios
- 6) Excerpts from the 2016 NOFA regarding ranking and prioritizing CoC projects and HUD Policy and Program Priorities.

Projects and Outcomes

Management Analyst, Lisë Stuart explained each handout in detail. Ms. Stuart explained the project performances were based on an Annual Progress Report run for 07/01/2015 to 06/30/2016. The Expenditure of Funds measure was based on the last completed operating year of each project. The final proposed rankings were weighted 50% in performance and 50% in committee recommendation. Ms. Stuart walked through one project explaining each of the ten measures used in the final calculation including Utilization, Housing Stability, Income, Expenditure of Grant Funds, Eligibility, Data Quality, Housing First status, Vulnerability of participants, Chronic Homelessness, and Monitoring compliance.

Ranking scenarios to consider were: Performance measures (how well the project met performance goals) and /or HUD Project Component Type by Priority (Permanent, Rapid Rehousing and Transitional Housing).

Ms. Stuart explained that projects are ranked in two tiers.

Annual Renewal Demand (ARD): \$3,279,456

Tier 1: \$ 3,049,89493% of the (ARD) amount

Tier 2: \$ 229,5627% of ARD

Lisë Stuart informed the committee that projects in Tier 2 are more vulnerable to funding cuts especially Transitional Housing projects that are not dedicated to homeless youth. Ms. Wolfe reminded the committee that due to our high scoring application, the 2015 CoC award was fully funded for both Tier 1 and Tier 2 were funded but, there are no guarantees.

Comments and Responses from Committee Members

- *Are any of the projects connected?* – All projects are standalone projects and households placed in projects through Coordinated Entry Waitlist.
- *Is there any redundancy with projects?* – No, because the need is greater than what is available.
- *Are singles being served?* – Majority of households in projects are for singles (Adult only Households)
- *Can the \$229,561 be selected from the bottom 4 or by a percentage* – How they fall into Tier 2 is per HUD rules
- *Are there other agencies providing housing to homeless Veterans outside of this grant?* – Yes, rental assistance through Supportive Services to Veteran Families (SSVF) and HUD-VASH Permanent Housing.

Ranking & Scoring

Members reviewed their handouts and scored the projects according based on performance and discussion. Using the *Project Ranking* sheet, members indicated their priority numbers.

Weighting and Tabulating

Andrea tabulated the responses to the weighted worksheet.

Tier 1

- 1) McKenzie
- 2) HMIS
- 3) LIFT
- 4) Cascade
- 5) Shankle Safe Haven
- 6) Shelter Plus Care
- 7) Camas
- 8) Madrone
- 9) Emerald
- 10) First Place Family
- 11) Connections 80%

Tier 2

- 11) Connections 20%
- 12) Vet LIFT 100%
- 13) Sahalie Permanent Housing Project 100%

Committee scoring was fairly consistent with performance ranking with the exception of Madrone Permanent Housing Project. The committee scored Madrone at 8 due to the high need of population and HUD priorities to serve chronically homeless with the highest vulnerability. Cascades Rapid Rehousing: consideration was also given component (RRH is lower than permanent housing in the HUD priorities) and was ranked slightly lower. Tier 2 projects: 11) Connections Transitional Housing project is straddled between Tier 1 and Tier 2 (80% in Tier 1 and 20% in Tier 2 ; 12) Vet Lift was ranked in Tier 2.

There was a concern from a committee member about Transitional Housing project the being in part in the second tier. HUD has suggested changing the project to Rapid Rehousing, but it is currently a Transitional Housing project for this grant application.

Proposed Ranking

The proposed ranking from this committee will be presented at the July 21 PHB meeting.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 4:15 p.m.

*Recorded by Melissa Coloma
HSD Staff*

July 21, 2016

No CoC Reallocation Process

The Lane County CoC, Collaborative Applicant, “did not use the reallocation process in the FY 2016 CoC Program competition and this document does not apply.”

**Lane County Oregon
Fiscal Year 2016 Grant Application Projects
HUD Continuum of Care Program**

The projects summarized below are included in the 2016 HUD Continuum of Care application. They provide critical services for homeless families and individuals to address the housing and services gap.

The **Lane County Continuum of Care Grant** renewal and new projects include:

1. Camas Permanent Housing Project

Camas Permanent Housing Project serves 12 households without children and 2 households with children where there is a history of chronic homelessness and a diagnosis of serious mental illness or a pattern of acute medical care needs. They will reside in scattered site permanent supported affordable housing through a housing first approach. Participants are provided permanent housing in the Eugene/Springfield/Lane County area. Households receive intensive case management to help clients obtain the skills and income needed for self-sufficiency, self-determination and housing stability. *Camas Permanent Housing Project will serve up to 14 households with adults only and two households with children at any given point in time.*

2. Cascades Rapid Re-Housing Project

Cascades is a rapid re-housing program which will provide rapid rehousing services to single adults who are homeless and medically fragile. Eligible singles will receive case management services to stabilize their situation and stabilize their housing. Case managers identify and build their plan of action with participants including increasing education and training, obtaining more gainful employment, building positive relationships with landlords and neighbors, building savings, building communication skills and accessing community resources. A critical component of this program will be to connect these individuals with health care providers who will help them manage and resolve their health care needs and access medications. *Cascades will serve 9 households with adults only at any given point in time.*

3. Emerald Options

Emerald Options is a permanent housing project providing long-term, community based housing and supportive services to homeless persons with developmental disabilities including disabled individuals and families. *Emerald Options serves 10 households with adults only and 5 households with children at any given point in time.*

4. OR-500 CoC Planning Application 2016

Lane County Human Services Commission is responsible for the administration of Continuum of Care (CoC) planning. The CoC is responsible for developing a plan that coordinates housing and service system that meets the needs of homeless individuals and families within its geographic area. The plan must be developed using a comprehensive community-based or region-based approach to ending homelessness. The CoC's plan addresses the specific needs of all homeless subpopulations, including, but not limited to persons with substance abuse issues; persons with HIV/AIDS; veterans and their families; the chronically homeless; families with children; unaccompanied youth; persons with serious mental illness; and victims of domestic violence,

sexual assault, and stalking.

5. LANE HMIS

Lane County Human Services Commission will be responsible for the overall administration of LANE Homeless Management Information System (LANE HMIS) project. Lane County Continuum of Care has participated in State of Oregon's HMIS since April 2005. The LANE HMIS uses ServicePoint HMIS data for budgetary decision-making, grant applications, program performance measurement, and to illustrate the conditions of poverty in Lane County. Lane County-Human Services Division provides agency-level HMIS reports to participating HMIS agencies for similar uses.

6. McKenzie Rapid Rehousing Project

McKenzie Rapid Rehousing is a rapid rehousing project which facilitates the movement of homeless individuals and families to permanent housing. Homeless individuals and families may participate in McKenzie Rapid Rehousing up to 24 months and receive supportive services that enable them to live more independently. *McKenzie Rapid Rehousing serves 9 households with adults only and 33 households with children at any given point in time.*

7. Safe Haven Shankle

Safe Haven Shankle is a permanent housing project that serves hard-to-reach, chronically homeless persons with a severe and persistent mental illness. Shankle facility consists of 16-bed permanent beds in 8 residential units and also offers 11 scattered site beds in the community. On-site services include basic needs, food, shelter and case management. Individuals successfully move to permanent housing by getting assistance with mental health recovery and connections to the supports they need to create resiliency, self-sufficiency and stability. *Safe Haven Shankle serves 27 households with adults only at any given point in time.*

8. Sahalie Permanent Housing (new bonus project)

Sahalie Permanent Housing Project will provide services to chronically homeless individuals. Individuals will also be identified as frequent users of health care, emergency medical, human services and public safety systems. They may present with one or more of the following disabilities: a serious mental illness, physical disability or chronic health condition, developmental disabilities, substance abuse issues or HIV/AIDS. Housing is subsidized and supported with a program of intensive case management known as Frequent Users System Engagement (FUSE). Case managers assist with coordination, skill building and emotional support, housing retention and help to build social support systems. Individuals are linked to individualized supportive services, to help them obtain housing stability and avoid returns to costly crisis services and institutions. Program participants will reside in scattered site permanent housing units through a housing first approach. Sahalie Permanent Housing Project *will serve up to 10 households with adults only at any given point in time.*

The **St. Vincent de Paul (SVDP)** Continuum of Care grant renewal projects include:

9. Connections

Connections provides transitional housing for homeless families with children. Housing is provided in SVDP owned and managed affordable housing complexes scattered throughout

Eugene and Springfield. All complexes have on-site managers, and Resident Services Coordinators provide an additional array of youth activities, homework clubs and tenant education activities. The project is designed to help clients acquire the means move to self-sufficiency. *Connections serves 21 households with children at any given point in time.*

10. First Place Families Project

SVDP's First Place Families Project will provide services to promote self-sufficiency for chronically homeless families. The adult head of household must have a diagnosable disability. Participants are provided permanent housing in the Eugene/Springfield/Lane County area. They receive intensive case management to help clients obtain the skills and income needed for self-sufficiency, self-determination and housing stability. First Place Families Project *will serve 3 households with children at any given point in time.*

11. LIFT (Living Independently Following Treatment)

LIFT is an inter-agency collaborative project designed to fill an unmet need for services to promote self-sufficiency of chronically homeless individuals and families with co-occurring mental illness and addictions. Participants are provided permanent housing in St. Vincent de Paul-owned affordable housing complexes in the Eugene/Springfield area. Households receive housing, education, and intensive case management to help clients obtain the skills and income needed for self-sufficiency, self-determination and housing stability. *LIFT serves 8 households with adults only and 10 households with children at any given point in time.*

12. Vet LIFT

The Vet LIFT is a permanent housing project serving chronically homeless veterans with dual diagnoses of a mental disorder with substance abuse. The project addresses the need for permanent housing for homeless individuals with disabilities and their need of skills and resources to obtain and maintain self-sufficiency. Participants are housed in single bedroom apartments and receive an array of supportive services to address the multiple barriers to stability. *Vet LIFT serves 18 households with adults only at any given point in time.*

The **Housing and Community Services Agency of Lane County (HACSA)** Continuum of Care grant renewal project and the permanent housing bonus Project includes:

13. Shelter Plus Care

HACSA's Shelter Plus Care (S+C) is a tenant-based rental assistance program which provides housing to families and chronically homeless individuals with a mental illness. The majority of the participants have co-occurring substance abuse issues. The goal of the program is to promote clients' independence and help them acquire permanent housing. S+C offers 27 one bedroom units, 17 two bedroom units and 4 three bedroom units. Shelter Plus Care *serves 45 households with adults only and 3 households with children at any given point in time.*

14. Madrone Permanent Housing Project

Madrone Permanent Housing Project will provide services to chronically homeless individuals and families. The households may present with one or more of the following disabilities: a serious mental illness, physical disability or chronic health condition, developmental disabilities, substance abuse issues or HIV/AIDS. Housing is subsidized and supported with a program of intensive case management provided by an interdisciplinary team of case managers who have multiple specialties. Case managers assist with planning, coordination, resource acquisition, skill development and emotional support. Program participants will reside in scattered site permanent supported affordable housing through a housing first approach. Madrone Permanent Housing Project *will serve up to 26 households with adults only and 7 households with children at any given point in time.*

MINUTES

POVERTY AND HOMELESSNESS BOARD

Lane County Youth Services Serbu Campus Carmichael Room
2727 Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd, Eugene

July 21, 2016
12:00 p.m.

PRESENT: Pat Walsh *Chair*, Dan Bryant *Vice Chair*, Pat Farr, Noreen Dunnells, Jacob Fox, Kris McAlister, Shawn Murphy, Kitty Piercy, John Radich, Paul Solomon, Kristina Payne, Anne Williams, Byron Trapp, Kathy Holston (proxy for Jim Coey) Members; Stephanie Jennings (City of Eugene Staff); Erin Fifield (City of Springfield Staff); Steve Manela, Pearl Wolfe, Lise Stuart, Lyn Oliver and Andrea Russell (HSD Staff); Robin Scott (HHS Staff); Susan Ban (ShelterCare), Elliott Farren (LCLAC), Tod Schneider (CSS), Chris Cunningham (Community Volunteer), Laurel Scherer, Laura Bowen, Laurence Guerra, and Rev. Wayne Martin (Nightingale Health Sanctuary), Amy Cabbage (Cornerstone Community Housing), Majeska Seese-Green (A Community Together), Michael Gannon, Betty Grant, Guests.

ABSENT: Sean VanGordon, Cindy Leming, Janet Thorn, Members

WELCOME & INTRODUCTIONS

Chair Pat Walsh convened the meeting at 12:00 p.m. Those present introduced themselves. Mr. Walsh offered a special welcome to new member Kris McAlister.

PUBLIC COMMENT *Individuals who plan to offer comment must sign in with name and contact information prior to beginning of the meeting.*

- *Chris Cunningham* spoke about the importance of rest stops.
- *Laurel Saherer* shared her experience as a resident of Nightingale Health Sanctuary and the support she received.
- *Rev. Wayne Martin*, a member of the Nightingale Health Sanctuary board, emphasized the public cost of homelessness citing a report by former HUD Secretary Shaun Donovan as \$40,451 per person per year. Rev. Martin noted Nightingale was located on County owned property but was no cost to Lane County. The site was peaceful, supportive and encouraged community in a low cost option.

Board Response:

Ms. Wolfe, Mr. Bryant and Mr. Farr updated those present on the status of the search for an alternate site for Nightingale. Mayor Piercy received an update immediately prior to the meeting indicating Oregon Department of Transportation was not giving permission to use the latest option, a Danebo site. She stated that on Monday, the City of Eugene staff will present options for the counsel to consider. Ms. Piercy added that the City's view is Nightingale has been doing a very good job and she hopes County members can be helpful in getting an extension. Mr. Farr left the meeting to make a phone call to get an update on the current site status.

FOLLOW-UP FROM PREVIOUS MEETING

Approve Minutes of June 16, 2016

Dan Bryant moved to approve the June 16, 2016 minutes as presented.

Shawn Murphy provided the second. The motion passed unanimously.

FOCUS TOPIC 1:

CONTINUUM OF CARE SYSTEM PERFORMANCE DATA/LISE STUART

Lise Stuart presented the new system performance measurements required by U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The new measures evaluate at the impact the Continuum of Care system has on the community and is participant specific rather than by each HUD funded project. This type of system evaluation will encourage continuums to continuously review projects for reallocation or change in project type to improve outcomes. Ms. Stuart provided a handout entitled Performance Measurement Module which contained seven measures and baseline data. She explained each measure in detail. Measures 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless; Measure 2: The Extent to which Persons who Exit Homelessness to Permanent Housing Destinations Return to Homelessness; Measure 3: Number

of Homeless Persons; Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Programs-funded Projects; Measure 5: Number of persons who become homeless for the 1st time; Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category three of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects (not applicable in Lane County or the State of Oregon); Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing. Ms. Stuart predicts future goals will measure improvements from the baselines.

Board members suggested it would be helpful to know the event that caused households to become homeless and the desire to look more closely at identifying and incorporating local reporting interests. Members would like to use data to understand underlying causes of homelessness and the impact of homeless especially as the new legislative session approaches. Ms. Stuart noted that the whole picture is not available through HMIS since 1.) unsheltered persons are not part of the System Performance Measures' (SPM) universe and 2.) HUD does not recognize camps, rest stops or alternative shelter sites as Emergency Shelter therefore these project types are not included in SPMs. HUD uses the HUD definition of homelessness which does not include "doubled-up" situations.

CONTINUUM OF CARE 2016 PROJECT PRIORITIZATION/LISE STUART

Project ranking is required for the 2016 Continuum of Care (CoC) grant competition. Lisé Stuart explained the process to the board. In the grant Notice of Funding Allocation (NOFA) HUD requires CoC examine performance and effectiveness of each project in serving the most vulnerable populations. Continuums are also encouraged to reallocate lower performing projects. Reallocation was not suggested by the ranking subcommittee. Performance indicators include utilization, permanent housing stability, income stability, expenditure of grant funds, project eligibility, HMIS data quality, project's Housing First status, enrollment of most vulnerable households including chronically homeless households, and whether or not the projects are monitored. Ms. Stuart noted the process used to determine ranking must be described in the grant application and will be scored. The method used is scored in the grant and points are applied based on the use of indicators described in the NOFA. Points are subtracted if a process which includes the indicators discussed is not included in the ranking. A subgroup of the PHB members, Kris McAlister, Shawn Murphy and Pat Walsh, participated in the ranking process and were tasked with ranking projects into two tiers. Per the NOFA rules 93% of the total grant funds must be placed in Tier One. Tier Two consists of 7% or \$229,561.92. The renewal of Tier Two projects are contingent on the successful overall grant application score. Ms. Stuart shared the difficulty in ranking projects in which there were no clear low performers. The lowest outcome score was 82%. Planning grant was not included in the ranking as per HUD instructions. The committee opted to rank the new project last. . as has been historically done in Lane County.

Pat Walsh shared the subcommittee members used overall performance and program type (Permanent Supportive Housing, Transitional Housing and Rapid Rehousing) to base their ranking. Mr. Walsh reminded the board that all Tier Two projects were funded last year due to the overall strength of the grant.

Subcommittee member Shawn Murphy added the ranking process was tough and all projects were good.

Dan Bryant questioned if Vet LIFT was ranked last because of the population served (homeless veterans). Ms. Stuart explained the ranking was due to the project not Housing First.

Anne Williams explained the challenges of projects such as Vet LIFT. The acquisition of the Vet LIFT site required SVdP to pull together multiple HUD funded grant sources (CoC, HOME, CDBG) as well as alcohol and drug grant funds in order to address the significant deferred maintenance issues at the time of purchase. The alcohol and drug grant funds require a clean and sober site. These types of requirements are not eligible in the HUD Housing First model. Ms. Williams added that requirements of funding sources at the time of grant application have changed to no longer be compatible with one another.

Jacob Fox discussed some considerations which he would like considered in the ranking summary document: actual numbers of participants served versus grant point in time numbers. Stephanie Jennings suggested that committee considered the connection of HUD CoC projects to public capital improvements investments in projects such as HOME and CDBG.

Ms. Williams asked why the Connections project straddled Tier One and Tier Two. Ms. Stuart explained Tier Two was determined by 7% of the renewal project funding. Ms. Wolfe reminded members that last year's grant competition split

McKenzie Rapid Rehousing between Tier 1 and Tier 2. Last year, Tier One included 85% of the Annual Renewal Amount and Tier Two at 15%. This year HUD requires 93% in Tier 1 and 7% in Tier 2.

Steve Manela pointed out the percentage of the Transitional Housing (TH) project which fell into Tier Two was particularly vulnerable as HUD has reported defunding TH placed in Tier Two. HUD has expressed a desire to convert TH projects to Rapid Rehousing or Permanent Supportive Housing models. Mr. Manela questioned how last year's new project Madrone was ranked in performance since it had not yet started providing services. Ms. Stuart explained the Madrone project is expected to start up in November 2016. In a review of the process used by other continuums, Ms. Stuart found ranking projects that have been awarded funding but not yet started was a common practice. The performance was evaluated based on the project strengths and meeting all the highest goals of HUD including housing first, monitoring expectation and the chronically homeless population served.

Ms. Wolfe reminded the board that continuums were also encouraged, through the possibility of additional points in the grant, to reallocate low performing projects. Reallocation was not suggested by the ranking subcommittee. Mayor Piercy felt projects were penalized for being good. Mr. Farr questioned how the opinions about the unreasonable HUD rules could be communicated to those on the Federal level. Ms. Williams added that HUD process is based on metropolitan east coast performance statistics. She added 8% of the Connections households were previously enrolled in Rapid Rehousing projects and never successfully addressed barriers until enrolling in Connections.

Dan Bryant moved to approve the ranking as presented. Kitty Piercy seconded. All voting members present approved the motion.

FOCUS TOPIC 2: Non-Traditional Shelters: Rest Stops, Safe Spots, Transitional Micro-Housing/ Panel

Ms. Wolfe introduced the panel and explained each participant was given a list of discussion questions prior to the meeting and were asked to address each item.

Andy Heben of SquareOne began the panel discussion by describing Opportunity Village Eugene (OVE) project. OVE is located on one acre of City of Eugene land. The project consists of conestoga huts and micro housing. OVE houses singles and couples. The site has common spaces including a yurt village living room, flush toilets, laundry, showers and cooking space. OVE is also a Food for Lane County shelter site. The goal of OVE is to provide temporary shelter while individuals work toward permanent housing. The capacity is 30 singles/couples. At the three year mark OVE, served over 100 people with the average length of stay seven months. Current resident length of stay is 18 months. Residents exit OVE two-to-one to permanent housing (rental housing, move back to family or friends, Section 8 etc.) and due to rule violations. Mr. Heben explained the benefits of non-traditional shelter were the ability to provide basic needs at a very low cost. The shelter provides privacy and autonomy with little to no impact on the neighborhoods and crime. The cost is \$3 to \$5 per person per night with \$1 provided by the residents. The balance of the cost is provided through donations. OVE has a weekly village meeting and there is a Board of Directors oversight. The concept of participant ownership equates to personal investment in protecting the neighborhood. OVE has received roughly 85% positive feedback from area neighbors and businesses. OVE is challenged with similar issues of other shelter projects. There are some internal conflicts among residents. Residents struggle with barriers to full time work and there are not enough options to transition out. OVE partners with other agencies within the homeless delivery system to try and help residents locate permanent housing. White Bird offers case management. The Board of Directors is a cross section of the community. There is a village coordinator and a MSW intern to help connect residents with resources.

Tracy Jocelyn shared information about the Nightingale Health Sanctuary. Nightingale is a self-governing community. The goal of Nightingale is to show that non-traditional shelters work while supporting each other as they work toward personal goals. Nightingale residents are adult singles or couples. There are two stops averaging 15-20 residents per site. The average length of stay is six to eight months. Long term residents are valued for their leadership. Residents exiting due to rule violations are estimated to be roughly 23 and go back to where they were before joining Nightingale. Ten residents were housed, eight exited to addition recovery programs, and ten are estimated to have moved back to family support including out of the state. Most residents are on a fixed income. One half work, one half collect bottles and cans. Residents are grateful to have a place to be and know in order for the project to survive they must learn to work out conflicts themselves. The cost of the site is \$600 per month. Nightingale operates with the belief that all people have value. Nightingale allows residents to be able to pull their life together and provides a place to sleep and

store their belongings without having to hide. Residents are encouraged to seek out counseling and other supports. Nightingale collaborates with Food for Lane County, National Alliance on Mental Illness, FISH and others. There is a plan to offer platforms for agencies such as White Bird and Occupy Medical.

Tod Schneider reported that Community Supported Shelters (CSS) serves a similar population, adults and couples. The purpose is to operate a “shelter first” model without any screening or background check expectations. Residents must work within rules which campers enforce. This model works well with residents that are adverse to traditional authority. Tod expressed the model used by CSS is the best of any seen around the country. CSS provides built huts to community institutions such as faith and social service organizations. St Vincent de Paul manages the huts once they are in place. CCS also provides thematic safe spots for camping. Current camps include a veteran’s camp, young adult camp, disabled adults camp and a women’s camp. Tod views CSS camps as another service tool for the community. CSS is a model which could be utilized during disaster management requiring quick response. The average length of stay for CSS residents is ten months. The cost are approximately \$2,500 per year per campsite.

PHB member Dan Bryant is also involved with OVE and is Mr. Heben’s supervisor. He shared that OVE has become a model for other communities. Mr. Bryant invited all to a Square One/OVE fundraiser on September 18th.

Pearl Wolfe announced that recently OVE has begun using ServicePoint, the Homeless Management Information System, so OVE data will be available soon.

Mayor Piercy echoed Mr. Bryant’s comments that Eugene has become a model for non-traditional shelters in other communities. She reminded the board that non-traditional shelters have a place in the homeless services system but it is not housing and does not replace the need for housing first projects and a public shelter. Rather, non-traditional shelters are another option to make sure everyone has a place to be. There is more work to be done. She expressed pride and appreciation to the presenters for their groundbreaking work.

Mr. Farr updated the board on the status of the site search for Nightingale Health Sanctuary. There will not be an eviction on Friday, July 22, 2016. Lane County and City of Eugene staff will continue looking for an appropriate new site.

ADJOURNMENT

Chair Pat Walsh thanked the panel and those present before adjourning the meeting. He added that he would like to the board to tentatively hold the Thursday, August 18, 2016 for the next PHB meeting time. We traditionally do not meet in August. The meeting will be cancelled two weeks before the meeting date if there are no HUD CoC 2016 grant updates that require a PHB full membership meeting to approve.

Recorded by Human Services Division Staff

CoC Ranking and Review Procedure ATTACHMENTS

Eugene/Springfield/Lane County CoC

- 2016 Project Prioritization and documentation *per project (NOTE individual tabs on spreadsheet for all the projects)*
- CoC Evaluation and RFP Committee Minutes 7-18-16, ranking projects for CoC 2016 Application
- No CoC 2016 Reallocation Statement
- Project Descriptions 2016 Lane County CoC
- Minutes July 21, 2016 CoC BOARD APPROVED RANKING of PROJECTS for CoC 2016 Application